

NON-DISPERSIVE WAVEPACKET SOLUTIONS OF THE SCHRODINGER EQUATION

Shaun N Mosley,* Sunnybank, Albert Road, Nottingham NG3 4JD, UK

Abstract

The free Schrodinger equation has constant velocity wavepacket solutions $\psi_{\mathbf{v}}$ of the form $\psi = f(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t) e^{-i mc^2 t/2}$. These solutions are eigenvectors of a momentum operator $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}$ which is symmetric in a positive definite scalar product space. We discuss whether these $\psi_{\mathbf{v}}$ can act as basis states rather than the usual plane wave solutions.

1 Introduction

We establish a class of solutions to the Schrodinger equation that are localised, their amplitude inversely proportional to the distance from the wavepacket centre; they are non-dispersive, and are eigenfunctions of a particular momentum operator found below. We show that the Schrodinger equation

$$(i \partial_t + \nabla^2/2m) \psi = 0 \quad (1)$$

(we put $\hbar = 1$ throughout) admits the following bounded solution representing a wavepacket travelling with velocity v in the z direction

$$\psi_v = \frac{\sin\left(m(c^2 + v^2)^{1/2} (x^2 + y^2 + (z - vt)^2)^{1/2}\right)}{(x^2 + y^2 + (z - vt)^2)^{1/2}} e^{i m v (z - vt)} e^{-i mc^2 t/2},$$

or more generally with velocity $\mathbf{v}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\mathbf{v}} &= \frac{\sin(mv^0 |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t|)}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t|} e^{i m \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t)} e^{-i mc^2 t/2} \\ &\equiv m v^0 j_0(m v^0 \bar{r}) e^{i m \mathbf{v} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{r}}} e^{-i mc^2 t/2} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

with

$$v^0 \equiv (c^2 + |\mathbf{v}|^2)^{1/2} = (c^2 + v^2)^{1/2}, \quad \bar{\mathbf{r}} \equiv \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t, \quad \bar{r} \equiv |\bar{\mathbf{r}}|,$$

and j_0 is the spherical Bessel function of order zero. The c is an arbitrary parameter having dimension velocity: it can take any finite value or be zero, as in an earlier version of this paper. From now on we will consider c to be the speed of light then

$$m c v^0 = m c (c^2 + v^2)^{1/2} \approx m c^2 + \frac{1}{2} m v^2 \quad \text{for } v \ll c,$$

which is the non-relativistic energy after subtracting out the ‘rest energy’ $m c^2$. In the next section we find an operator $\tilde{p}^0$ such that $\tilde{p}^0 \psi_{\mathbf{v}} = m v^0 \psi_{\mathbf{v}}$.

To verify that (2) is a solution of (1), note the identities

$$(\partial_t + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla + \frac{i m c^2}{2}) \psi_{\mathbf{v}} = 0 \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla - i m \mathbf{v}) \psi_{\mathbf{v}} &= e^{i m \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t)} e^{-i mc^2 t/2} \nabla \left[\frac{\sin(mv^0 |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t|)}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t|} \right] \\ (\nabla - i m \mathbf{v})^2 \psi_{\mathbf{v}} &= e^{i m \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t)} e^{-i mc^2 t/2} \nabla^2 \left[\frac{\sin(mv^0 |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t|)}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t|} \right] = -m^2 v^{02} \psi_{\mathbf{v}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

* E-mail: shaun.mosley@ntlworld.com

The first identity (3) follows from $\psi_{\mathbf{v}} = f(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t) e^{-i m c^2 t/2}$. Expanding out (4) and substituting in (3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla^2 - 2 i m \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla - m^2 v^2) \psi_{\mathbf{v}} &= -m^2 (c^2 + v^2) \psi_{\mathbf{v}} \\ (\nabla^2 + 2 i m (\partial_t + \frac{i m c^2}{2})) \psi_{\mathbf{v}} &= -m^2 c^2 \psi_{\mathbf{v}} \\ (\nabla^2 + 2 i m \partial_t) \psi_{\mathbf{v}} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

which is (1).

That $\psi_{\mathbf{v}} = f(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t) e^{-i m c^2 t/2}$ is a clear statement of its non-dispersive property, the $e^{-i m c^2 t/2}$ being merely a time phase factor over all space. The wavepacket centre is at $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{v}t$. The only free parameter in $\psi_{\mathbf{v}}$ is $\mathbf{v}$ itself (once we have fixed the value of c), which makes us curious as to whether $\Psi_{\mathbf{v}}$ is an eigenfunction. We will go on to consider whether we can regard these $\psi_{\mathbf{v}}$ as the basis states of the Schrodinger equation, rather than the usual plane wave solutions which are spread out over all space.

I have not found any reference to the $\psi_{\mathbf{v}}$ solutions in the literature: it is sometimes stated that such constant velocity non-dispersive wavepackets do not exist (see for example p167 of ref [1]). Wavepacket solutions of the Schrodinger equation are considered in Besieris et al [2] (see (2.7) therein), and Barut [3]. A constant acceleration wavepacket [4], sometimes called the Airy packet, is well known.

2 The velocity (momentum) operators

The momentum of a particle is simply the product of its mass and velocity $\mathbf{p} = m \mathbf{v}$ as usual for the non-relativistic case. As $v^0 = \sqrt{c^2 + v^2}$ we will label

$$p^0 = m v^0 = \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + p^2}.$$

The p^0 has relativistic character, even though the absolute velocity has no upper limit. In terms of $\mathbf{p}$ the wavefunction (2) is

$$\psi_{\mathbf{v}} = \frac{\sin(p^0 |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{p}t/m|)}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{p}t/m|} e^{i \mathbf{p} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{p}t/m)} e^{-i m c^2 t/2},$$

and at time $t = 0$

$$\psi_{\mathbf{v}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{r} \sin(p^0 r) e^{i \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}} = -\frac{i}{2r} \left[e^{i p^0 r + i \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}} - e^{-i p^0 r + i \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \right]. \quad (5)$$

We first establish the following identities, which can be verified by direct calculation:

$$\frac{1}{2} r (-m^2 c^2 + \nabla^2) (e^{\pm i p^0 r} e^{i \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}}) = \pm i (\partial_r r) p^0 (e^{\pm i p^0 r} e^{i \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}}) \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla (e^{\pm i p^0 r} e^{i \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}}) &= (i \mathbf{p} \pm i p^0 \hat{\mathbf{r}}) (e^{\pm i p^0 r} e^{i \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}}) \\ (\partial_r r) \nabla (e^{\pm i p^0 r} e^{i \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}}) &= (i \mathbf{p} \pm i p^0 \hat{\mathbf{r}}) (\partial_r r) (e^{\pm i p^0 r} e^{i \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}}) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

now we multiply (6) by $\hat{\mathbf{r}} \equiv \mathbf{r}/r$ and subtract (7) obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} [- (\partial_r r) \nabla - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{r} (m^2 c^2 - \nabla^2)] (e^{\pm i p^0 r} e^{i \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}}) &= -i (\partial_r r) \mathbf{p} (e^{\pm i p^0 r} e^{i \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}}) \\ [- (\partial_r r) \nabla - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{r} (m^2 c^2 - \nabla^2)] \{\sin(p^0 r) e^{i \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}}\} &= -i (\partial_r r) \mathbf{p} \{\sin(p^0 r) e^{i \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}}\} \\ (-\frac{1}{2} m^2 c^2 \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{a}) r \psi_{\mathbf{v}} &= -i (\partial_r r) r \mathbf{p} \psi_{\mathbf{v}}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The operators $\mathbf{a}$, and a^0 with $(a^0)^2 = \mathbf{a}^2$, are

$$(a^0, \mathbf{a}) = (-\frac{1}{2} r \nabla^2, -(\partial_r r) \nabla + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{r} \nabla^2). \quad (9)$$

The operator $\mathbf{a}$ is related to the Runge-Lenz operator used for solving the Schrodinger equation with a Coulomb potential, its components commute with each other. Further properties of $(a^0, \mathbf{a})$ are listed in [5].

We now introduce the dilation operator Σ

$$\Sigma \equiv -i(\partial_r r)$$

and an inverse dilation operator Σ^{-1} :

$$\Sigma^{-1} f(r, \theta, \phi) = \frac{i}{r} \int_0^r f(t, \theta, \phi) dt, \quad \Sigma^{-1} f(\mathbf{r}) = i \int_0^1 f(\lambda \mathbf{r}) d\lambda. \quad (10)$$

The operators Σ, Σ^{-1} commute with any operator of homogeneity degree zero, i.e. any operator such as $(r\nabla)$ which is invariant under a dilation of $\mathbf{r}$. Now we can write (8) as

$$(-\frac{1}{2} m^2 c^2 \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{a}) r \psi_{\mathbf{v}} = \Sigma r \mathbf{p} \psi_{\mathbf{v}}$$

and multiplying from the left by $\frac{1}{r} \Sigma^{-1}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{p}} \psi_{\mathbf{v}} &\equiv \frac{1}{r} \Sigma^{-1} (-\frac{1}{2} m^2 c^2 \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{a}) r \psi_{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbf{p} \psi_{\mathbf{v}}, \\ \tilde{\mathbf{p}} &\equiv \frac{1}{r} \Sigma^{-1} (-\frac{1}{2} m^2 c^2 \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{a}) r \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

which is the momentum operator.

The operator $\tilde{p}^0$

We look for an operator $\tilde{p}^0$ such that $\tilde{p}^0 \psi_{\mathbf{v}} = p^0 \psi_{\mathbf{v}}$, a more difficult task than finding $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}$. As the notation implies, we will show in the next section that $(p^0, \tilde{\mathbf{p}})$ is a 4-vector, finding the boost operator generating a change in momentum. From (6) we find that

$$(\frac{1}{2} m^2 c^2 r + a^0) \{\sin(p^0 r) e^{i \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}}\} \equiv \frac{1}{2} r (m^2 c^2 - \nabla^2) \{\sin(p^0 r) e^{i \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}}\} = -(\partial_r r) p^0 \{\cos(p^0 r) e^{i \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}}\} \quad (12)$$

which cannot immediately be resolved into an eigenvalue equation due to the cosine function on the RHS instead of a sine function. In Ref [6] we constructed a Hilbert transform operator $\mathcal{H}_-$ which converts the $\{\cos(p^0 r) e^{i \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}}\}$ into $\{\sin(p^0 r) e^{i \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}}\}$. This $\mathcal{H}_-$ is defined

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\pm} f(\mathbf{r}) &\equiv \frac{1}{2} [(\mathcal{H}_e + \mathcal{H}_o) \pm (\mathcal{H}_e - \mathcal{H}_o) \mathcal{P}] f(\mathbf{r}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{f(\lambda \mathbf{r})}{\lambda - 1} - \frac{f(-\lambda \mathbf{r})}{1 + \lambda} \right) d\lambda \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $\mathcal{H}_e, \mathcal{H}_o$ are the Hilbert transforms of even, odd functions:

$$\mathcal{H}_e f(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{f(\lambda \mathbf{r})}{1 - \lambda^2} d\lambda, \quad \mathcal{H}_o f(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda f(\lambda \mathbf{r})}{1 - \lambda^2} d\lambda. \quad (14)$$

Note that $\mathcal{H}_{\pm}$ involves an integration over the entire axis $\mathbf{r} = \lambda \hat{\mathbf{r}}$, $(-\infty < \lambda < \infty)$ through the origin to the field point. We list some properties of these operators (for details see [6]) to be used later, which may be verified from the definitions (13) and (14):

$$\mathcal{H}_e \mathcal{H}_o = \mathcal{H}_o \mathcal{H}_e = -1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{H}_- \mathcal{H}_+ = \mathcal{H}_+ \mathcal{H}_- = -1, \quad (15)$$

$$\partial_r \mathcal{H}_{\pm} = \mathcal{H}_{\mp} \partial_r, \quad \mathcal{H}_{\pm} r = r \mathcal{H}_{\mp} \quad \mathcal{H}_{\pm} \hat{\mathbf{r}} = \hat{\mathbf{r}} \mathcal{H}_{\mp} \quad (16)$$

$$\nabla \mathcal{H}_{\pm} = \mathcal{H}_{\pm} \nabla, \quad \mathcal{H}_{\pm} \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} \mathcal{H}_{\pm}$$

$$(\frac{1}{r} \mathcal{H}_{e/o} r)^{\dagger} = -\frac{1}{r} \mathcal{H}_{o/e} r \quad \Rightarrow \quad (\frac{1}{r} \mathcal{H}_{\pm} r)^{\dagger} = -\frac{1}{r} \mathcal{H}_{\mp} r. \quad (17)$$

(15) and (17) imply that $(i \frac{1}{r} \mathcal{H}_+ r)$ is a unitary operator.

Returning to the $\tilde{p}^0$ operator we first verify that $\mathcal{H}_+\{\cos(p^0 r) e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\} = -\{\sin(p^0 r) e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\}$, we will use $\mathcal{H}_o \sin(\lambda x) = \cos(\lambda x)$, $\mathcal{H}_e \cos(\lambda x) = -\sin(\lambda x)$ for $\lambda > 0$, also noting that $(p^0 r + \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}) > 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_+\{\cos(p^0 r) e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\} &\equiv \frac{1}{2} [(\mathcal{H}_e + \mathcal{H}_o) + (\mathcal{H}_e - \mathcal{H}_o) \mathcal{P}] \{\cos(p^0 r) e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\} \\
&= \frac{1}{4} [\mathcal{H}_e(1 + \mathcal{P}) + \mathcal{H}_o(1 - \mathcal{P})] \{e^{i(p^0 r + \mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r})} + e^{-i(p^0 r - \mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r})}\} \\
&= \frac{1}{4} [\mathcal{H}_e \{e^{i(p^0 r + \mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r})} + e^{-i(p^0 r - \mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r})} + e^{i(p^0 r - \mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r})} + e^{-i(p^0 r + \mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r})}\} \\
&\quad + \mathcal{H}_o \{e^{i(p^0 r + \mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r})} + e^{-i(p^0 r - \mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r})} - e^{i(p^0 r - \mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r})} - e^{-i(p^0 r + \mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r})}\}] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{H}_e \{\cos(p^0 r + \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}) + \cos(p^0 r - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r})\} + i \mathcal{H}_o \{\sin(p^0 r + \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}) - \sin(p^0 r - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r})\}] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} [-\{\sin(p^0 r + \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}) + \sin(p^0 r - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r})\} + i \{\cos(p^0 r + \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}) - \cos(p^0 r - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r})\}] \\
&= \frac{i}{2} [e^{i(p^0 r + \mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r})} - e^{-i(p^0 r - \mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r})}] \\
&= -\sin(p^0 r) e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}}
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

and conversely

$$\mathcal{H}_-\{\sin(p^0 r) e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\} = \{\cos(p^0 r) e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\}. \tag{19}$$

We substitute this last result into (12) obtaining

$$\begin{aligned}
(\tfrac{1}{2} m^2 c^2 r + a^0) \{\sin(p^0 r) e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\} &= -(\partial_r r) p^0 \mathcal{H}_-\{\sin(p^0 r) e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\} \\
(\tfrac{1}{2} m^2 c^2 r + a^0) r \psi_{\mathbf{v}} &= -\partial_r r \mathcal{H}_- r p^0 \psi_{\mathbf{v}} = -i \Sigma \mathcal{H}_- r p^0 \psi_{\mathbf{v}}
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

now multiply (20) from the left by $-i \frac{1}{r} \mathcal{H}_+ \Sigma^{-1}$ to obtain

$$-i \frac{1}{r} \mathcal{H}_+ \Sigma^{-1} (\tfrac{1}{2} m^2 c^2 r + a^0) r \psi_{\mathbf{v}} = p^0 \psi_{\mathbf{v}}$$

so that

$$\tilde{p}^0 = -i \frac{1}{r} \mathcal{H}_+ \Sigma^{-1} (\tfrac{1}{2} m^2 c^2 r + a^0) r = -\frac{i}{2} \frac{1}{r} \mathcal{H}_+ \Sigma^{-1} r (m^2 c^2 - \nabla^2) r \tag{21}$$

and we have now found the complete set of velocity operators $(\tilde{p}^0, \tilde{\mathbf{p}})$. Note that the operator on the LHS of (20), which is $\frac{1}{2} r (m^2 c^2 - \nabla^2) r$, is by inspection a positive operator; and we will later show that $(-\partial_r r \mathcal{H}_- r)$ on the RHS of (20) is also positive. The operators (11) and (21) are the energy-momentum 4-vector operator $\tilde{p}^\lambda$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{p}^\lambda &\equiv (\tilde{p}^0, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}) \equiv \left(-i \frac{1}{r} \mathcal{H}_+ \Sigma^{-1} (\tfrac{1}{2} m^2 c^2 r + a^0) r, \frac{1}{r} \Sigma^{-1} (-\tfrac{1}{2} m^2 c^2 \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{a}) r \right) \\
p^\lambda &\equiv (\sqrt{m^2 c^2 + p^2}, \mathbf{p})
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

As we recall from (2), the eigenfunctions of $\tilde{p}^\lambda$ contain the same plane wave eigenfunction $e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}}$ of the usual momentum operator $-i\nabla$, except that our $\psi_{\mathbf{v}}$ also has the envelope factor $j_0(p^0 \bar{r})$. We next find a set of boost operators $\mathbf{K}$ and rotation operators $\mathbf{J}$ which together with $\tilde{p}^\lambda$ satisfy the Lorentz group commutation relations.

3 The Lorentz operators

We establish the Lorentz group operators which must obey the commutation relations

$$[J^a, \tilde{p}^b] = i\epsilon^{abc}\tilde{p}^c, \quad [K^a, \tilde{p}^0] = i\tilde{p}^a, \quad [K^a, \tilde{p}^b] = i\delta^{ab}\tilde{p}^0, \quad (23)$$

$$[J^a, J^b] = i\epsilon^{abc}J^c, \quad [J^a, K^b] = i\epsilon^{abc}K^c, \quad [K^a, K^b] = -i\epsilon^{abc}J^c \quad (24)$$

with the antisymmetric tensor $\epsilon^{123} = 1$. The boost, rotation operators $\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{J}$ such that $\tilde{p}^\lambda$ is a 4-vector are

$$\mathbf{K} = -\nabla \mathcal{H}_- r, \quad \mathbf{J} = -i(\mathbf{r} \times \nabla), \quad (26)$$

the rotation operator is as usual, but the boost operator necessarily contains the $\mathcal{H}_-$ integral operator. We first verify that the components of $\mathbf{K}$ satisfy the last formula of (24), using the identities (16)

$$\begin{aligned} [K_1, K_2] &= [-\nabla_1 \mathcal{H}_- r, -\nabla_2 \mathcal{H}_- r] \equiv \nabla_1 \mathcal{H}_- r \nabla_2 \mathcal{H}_- r - \nabla_2 \mathcal{H}_- r \nabla_1 \mathcal{H}_- r \\ &= \nabla_1 \mathcal{H}_- r \mathcal{H}_- \nabla_2 r - \nabla_2 \mathcal{H}_- r \mathcal{H}_- \nabla_1 r = \nabla_1 \mathcal{H}_- \mathcal{H}_+ r \nabla_2 r - \nabla_2 \mathcal{H}_- \mathcal{H}_+ r \nabla_1 r \\ &= -\nabla_1 r \nabla_2 r + \nabla_2 r \nabla_1 r = -(\mathbf{r} \times \nabla)_3 = -iJ_3 \end{aligned}$$

as stated.

To verify (23) we first separate out the terms containing m^2 in the $(\tilde{p}^0, \tilde{\mathbf{p}})$ operator of (22) as follows:

$$(\tilde{p}^0, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}) = \frac{1}{r}\Sigma^{-1}r m^2 c^2 \left(i\mathcal{H}_- r, \mathbf{r} \right) + \frac{1}{r}\Sigma^{-1}r \left(-\frac{i}{r}\mathcal{H}_+ a^0 r, \frac{1}{r}\mathbf{a} r \right). \quad (27)$$

The Lorentz operators (26) commute with $\frac{1}{r}\Sigma r$, so we now have to verify that both

$$(i\mathcal{H}_- r, \mathbf{r}) \quad \text{and} \quad \left(-\frac{i}{r}\mathcal{H}_+ a^0 r, \frac{1}{r}\mathbf{a} r \right)$$

are 4-vectors. In the first case we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{K}, i\mathcal{H}_- r] &\equiv [-\nabla \mathcal{H}_- r, i\mathcal{H}_- r] \equiv -i\nabla \mathcal{H}_- r \mathcal{H}_- r + i\mathcal{H}_- r \nabla \mathcal{H}_- r \\ &= -i\nabla r \mathcal{H}_+ \mathcal{H}_- r + ir\nabla \mathcal{H}_+ \mathcal{H}_- r = i\nabla r r - ir\nabla r = i\mathbf{r} \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

$$\text{and} \quad [K^a, r^b] \equiv -\nabla^a \mathcal{H}_- r r^b + r^b \nabla^a \mathcal{H}_- r = -\nabla^a r^b \mathcal{H}_- r + r^b \nabla^a \mathcal{H}_- r = i\delta^{ab}(i\mathcal{H}_- r)$$

as stated. This result indicates that $\mathbf{r}$ is the space part of a 4-vector: the space-time implications of this we will discuss elsewhere. Also

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{K}, -\frac{i}{r}\mathcal{H}_+ a^0 r] &\equiv [-\nabla \mathcal{H}_- r, -\frac{i}{r}\mathcal{H}_+ a^0 r] \equiv i\nabla \mathcal{H}_- \mathcal{H}_+ a^0 r - i\frac{i}{r}\mathcal{H}_+ a^0 r \nabla \mathcal{H}_- r \\ &= -i\nabla a^0 r - i\frac{i}{r}\mathcal{H}_+ \mathcal{H}_- a^0 r \nabla r = -i\nabla a^0 r + i\frac{i}{r}a^0 r \nabla r \\ &= \frac{1}{r}[-ir\nabla, a^0]r = i\frac{i}{r}\mathbf{a} r, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

the commutator on the last line is a known result (see for example [8]). To derive (28,29) we have used (16):

$$\partial_r \mathcal{H}_\pm = \mathcal{H}_\mp \partial_r, \quad \mathcal{H}_\pm r = r \mathcal{H}_\mp, \quad \mathcal{H}_\pm \hat{\mathbf{r}} = \hat{\mathbf{r}} \mathcal{H}_\mp, \quad \nabla \mathcal{H}_\pm = \mathcal{H}_\pm \nabla, \quad \mathcal{H}_\pm \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} \mathcal{H}_\pm.$$

We next investigate the effect of the boost operator $\mathbf{K}$ of (26) on $\psi_{\mathbf{v}}$. Under an infinitesimal boost ϵ in the z direction the wavefunction at time $t = 0$ is transformed as $[\psi_{\mathbf{v}}]_{t=0} \rightarrow (1 + i\epsilon K_3)[\psi_{\mathbf{v}}]_{t=0}$. We use (19) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + i\epsilon K_3)[\psi_{\mathbf{v}}]_{t=0} &= \frac{\sin(p^0 r)}{r} \exp[i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}] - i\epsilon \nabla_3 \mathcal{H}_- r \left[\frac{\sin(p^0 r)}{r} \exp[i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}] \right] \\ &= \frac{\sin(p^0 r)}{r} \exp[i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}] - i\epsilon \nabla_3 \left[\cos(p^0 r) \exp[i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}] \right] \\ &= \left[(1 + i\epsilon p^0 z) \frac{\sin(p^0 r)}{r} + \epsilon p_3 \cos(p^0 r) \right] \exp[i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}]. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

We can show that this is equivalent to substituting

$$p_3 \rightarrow p_3 + \epsilon p^0, \quad p_1 \rightarrow p_1, \quad p_2 \rightarrow p_2, \quad p^0 \rightarrow p^0 + \epsilon p_3 \quad (31)$$

into $\psi_{\mathbf{v}}$, as then

$$\begin{aligned}
[\psi_{\mathbf{v}}]_{t=0} &\rightarrow \frac{\sin((p^0 + \epsilon p_3) r)}{r} \exp[i(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r} + \epsilon p^0 z)] \\
&\approx \left[\frac{\sin(p^0 r)}{r} + \epsilon p_3 r \frac{\cos(p^0 r)}{r} \right] \exp[i \epsilon p^0 z] \exp[i \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}] \\
&\approx \left[(1 + i \epsilon p^0 z) \frac{\sin(p^0 r)}{r} + \epsilon p_3 \cos(p^0 r) \right] \exp[i \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}]
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

which is (30). This confirms that $\mathbf{K}$ generates the transformation (31).

4 The positive definite scalar product space $\langle \phi | \psi \rangle_S$

We establish in the Appendix that

$$(-\partial_r r \mathcal{H}_- r) = (-i \Sigma \mathcal{H}_- r) \quad \text{is a positive operator.} \tag{33}$$

Recalling (17):

$$\left(\frac{1}{r} \mathcal{H}_{\pm} r\right)^{\dagger} = -\frac{1}{r} \mathcal{H}_{\mp} r,$$

and also

$$\Sigma^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{r} \Sigma r,$$

then

$$(-i \Sigma \mathcal{H}_- r)^{\dagger} \equiv (-i \Sigma r (\frac{1}{r} \mathcal{H}_- r))^{\dagger} = (-i (\frac{1}{r} \mathcal{H}_+ r) \Sigma r) = (-i \Sigma (\frac{1}{r} \mathcal{H}_+ r) r) = (-i \Sigma \mathcal{H}_- r),$$

so that $(-i \Sigma \mathcal{H}_- r)$ is self-adjoint as well as positive. So we can construct a positive definite scalar product space, a Hilbert space:

$$\langle \phi | \psi \rangle_S \equiv \langle \phi | -i \Sigma \mathcal{H}_- r \psi \rangle. \tag{34}$$

We will verify that the $\mathbf{K}$, p^{λ} operators of the last section are self-adjoint with respect to $\langle \phi | \psi \rangle_S$. (The rotation operators commute with the $(-i \Sigma \mathcal{H}_- r)$ operator). We show the working involved to check the adjoint of $\mathbf{K} \equiv -\nabla \mathcal{H}_- r$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \phi | \mathbf{K} \psi \rangle_S &\equiv \langle \phi | -i \Sigma \mathcal{H}_- r \mathbf{K} \psi \rangle \equiv \langle \phi | i \Sigma \mathcal{H}_- r \nabla \mathcal{H}_- r \psi \rangle \\
&= \langle \phi | i \mathcal{H}_- \Sigma r \nabla \mathcal{H}_- r \psi \rangle = \langle -\frac{1}{r^2} \mathcal{H}_+ r^2 \phi | i \Sigma r \nabla \mathcal{H}_- r \psi \rangle \\
&= \langle -\frac{1}{r^2} \mathcal{H}_+ r^2 \phi | i r \nabla \Sigma \mathcal{H}_- r \psi \rangle = \langle \nabla \frac{1}{r} \mathcal{H}_+ r^2 \phi | i \Sigma \mathcal{H}_- r \psi \rangle \\
&= \langle \nabla \mathcal{H}_- r \phi | i \Sigma \mathcal{H}_- r \psi \rangle = \langle \mathbf{K} \phi | \psi \rangle_S.
\end{aligned}$$

In going to the last line of working we have used $\mathcal{H}_{\pm} r = r \mathcal{H}_{\mp}$ from (16). In a similar fashion the self-adjointness of the p^{λ} can be verified with the adjoint property

$$(a^{\lambda} r)^{\dagger} = (a^{\lambda} r). \tag{35}$$

5 The inner product spaces $\langle \phi | \psi \rangle_S$ and $\langle \phi | \psi \rangle$.

We have established in section 2 that the $\psi_{\mathbf{v}}$ are eigenvectors of $\tilde{p}^\lambda$ which is symmetric with respect to the non-negative scalar product space $\langle \phi | \psi \rangle_S$. So two wavepackets $\psi_{\mathbf{v}}, \psi_{\mathbf{v}'}$ momentarily coinciding are necessarily orthogonal:

$$\langle \psi_{\mathbf{v}} | \psi_{\mathbf{v}'} \rangle_S = 0 \quad \text{for } \mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{v}'$$

In the Appendix we check the above result and calculate $\langle \psi_{-\mathbf{v}} | \psi_{\mathbf{v}} \rangle_S$ and $\langle \psi_{\mathbf{v}} | \psi_{\mathbf{v}} \rangle_S$. We find that

$$\langle \psi_{\mathbf{v}} | \psi_{\mathbf{v}'} \rangle_S = (2\pi)^3 \frac{p^0}{2} \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}') = 4\pi^3 p^0 \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}'). \quad (33)$$

The physical meaning of the scalar product space $\langle \phi | \psi \rangle_S$ is obscure. More interesting is the usual scalar product of two wavefunctions $\langle \phi | \psi \rangle$, the $(\phi^* \psi)$ within the integral being a conserved quantity for any ϕ, ψ satisfying (1). Consider two wavepackets $\psi_v, \psi_{v'}$ with velocities v, v' in the z direction which momentarily coincide at time $t = 0$, so that $\psi_v = \sin(p^0 r) e^{i p z / r}$ and $\psi_{v'} = \sin(p'^0 r) e^{i p' z / r}$, with $-\infty < (p, p') < \infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_{v'} | \psi_v \rangle &= \int (\sin(p'^0 r) e^{-i p' z / r}) (\sin(p^0 r) e^{i p z / r}) d^3 \mathbf{r} \\ &= 2\pi \int \sin(p'^0 r) \sin(p^0 r) e^{i(p-p')r \cos \theta} d(-\cos \theta) dr \\ &= \pi \int \left[\cos((p^0 - p'^0)r) - \cos((p^0 + p'^0)r) \right] \left[2 \frac{\sin((p - p')r)}{(p - p')r} \right] dr \\ &= \frac{\pi}{(p - p')} \int \left[\sin([p - p' + (p^0 - p'^0)]r) + \sin([p - p' - (p^0 - p'^0)]r) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sin([p^0 + p'^0 + p - p']r) + \sin([p^0 + p'^0 - p + p']r) \right] \frac{1}{r} dr \\ &= \frac{\pi}{(p - p')} \frac{\pi}{2} \left[\text{sgn}(p - p') + \text{sgn}(p - p') - 1 + 1 \right] \\ &= \frac{\pi^2}{|(p - p')|}. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

So that the scalar product of two wavepackets which momentarily coincide have an inner product $\langle \psi_{v'} | \psi_v \rangle$ which is a finite number (when $v \neq v'$), and furthermore this inner product is constant for all time, however far apart the wavepackets are separated (either before or after the wavepackets have coincided). This linkage between two coinciding wavepackets or particles is a well known quantum feature. For two wavepackets coinciding with momenta $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}'$ not necessarily collinear, the above result (34) is

$$\langle \psi_{\mathbf{v}'} | \psi_{\mathbf{v}} \rangle = \frac{\pi^2}{|\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}'|}. \quad (35)$$

6 Towards a fully relativistic theory

The evolution equation for the $\psi_{\mathbf{v}}$ is the non-relativistic Schrodinger equation, and the momentum $\mathbf{p} = m\mathbf{v}$ which implies an unbounded velocity. On the other hand the energy $cp^0 = c\sqrt{m^2c^2 + p^2}$ as in the relativistic case. It is straightforward to obtain a $\Psi_{\mathbf{v}}$ so that the 4-momentum has the relativistic value

$$(\tilde{p}^0, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}) \Psi_{\mathbf{v}} = (m\gamma c, m\gamma \mathbf{v}) \Psi_{\mathbf{v}}, \quad \gamma \equiv (1 - v^2/c^2)^{-1/2},$$

but more difficult to find the evolution equation for this $\Psi_{\mathbf{v}}$.

Consider

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{v}} = \frac{\sin(m\gamma c|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t|)}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t|} \exp[i m\gamma \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t)] e^{-i m c^2 t / 2\gamma} \quad (36)$$

then from the results of section 2 by simple substitution

$$(\tilde{p}^0, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}) [\Psi_{\mathbf{v}}]_{t=0} = (m\gamma c, m\gamma \mathbf{v}) [\Psi_{\mathbf{v}}]_{t=0} \quad (37)$$

and now the $\Psi_{\mathbf{v}}$ (while still having velocity $\mathbf{v}$) has the correct relativistic 4-momentum when acted on by the $\tilde{p}^\lambda$ operator. We look for the evolution equation for $\Psi_{\mathbf{v}}$. Proceeding as in section 1, we note the identities

$$(\partial_t + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla + \frac{i m c^2}{2\gamma}) \Psi_{\mathbf{v}} = 0 \quad (38)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla - i m \gamma \mathbf{v}) \Psi_{\mathbf{v}} &= e^{i m \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t)} e^{-i m c^2 t / 2\gamma} \nabla \left[\frac{\sin(m\gamma |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t|)}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t|} \right] \\ (\nabla - i m \gamma \mathbf{v})^2 \Psi_{\mathbf{v}} &= e^{i m \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t)} e^{-i m c^2 t / 2\gamma} \nabla^2 \left[\frac{\sin(m\gamma |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t|)}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t|} \right] = -m^2 \gamma^2 c^2 \Psi_{\mathbf{v}}. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Expanding out (39) and substituting in (38) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla^2 - 2 i m \gamma \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla - m^2 \gamma^2 v^2) \Psi_{\mathbf{v}} &= -m^2 \gamma^2 c^2 \Psi_{\mathbf{v}} \\ (\nabla^2 + 2 i m \gamma (\partial_t + \frac{i m c^2}{2\gamma})) \Psi_{\mathbf{v}} &= -m^2 c^2 \Psi_{\mathbf{v}} \\ (\nabla^2 + 2 i m \gamma \partial_t) \Psi_{\mathbf{v}} &= \left(\nabla^2 + 2 i \frac{p^0}{c} \partial_t \right) \Psi_{\mathbf{v}} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

which apart the gamma factor in the partial time derivative is just the Schrodinger equation (1). But due to the γ factor which depends on the wavepacket velocity, equation (40) is not a true evolution equation applicable to any $\Psi_{\mathbf{v}}$. We can try inserting the $\tilde{p}^0$ operator instead of p^0 in (40), but the $\tilde{p}^0$ operator only has the eigenvalue property when the origin is at the wavepacket centre, which is not desirable for a evolution equation applicable wherever the origin.

7 Outlook

The attraction of the wavepacket solutions $\psi_{\mathbf{v}}$ is their localised nature which accords with our everyday experience. We have made progress in showing that the $\psi_{\mathbf{v}}$ can be used as alternative basis states to the plane waves, albeit with some complications: for example the momentum operators (22) only have the eigenfunction property when the origin is at the wavepacket centre.

The usual momentum operator $\boldsymbol{\pi} \equiv -i \boldsymbol{\nabla}$ does not commute with the momentum operators $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}$: the wavepackets are not invariant under translation, unlike a plane wave - which merely undergoes a phase change on translation. (Of course the $\psi_{\mathbf{v}}$ are invariant under a combined space and time translation.) The $\psi_{\mathbf{v}}$ are not eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian operator $-\nabla^2/2m$: it is impossible to have stationary states of the form $\psi = e^{-iEt} f(\mathbf{r})$ for wavepackets in motion, as the wavepacket envelope must itself be a function of t . An unexpected feature of the wavepacket momentum operator is that $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}$ is part of a 4-vector with $m^2 c^2 + (\tilde{\mathbf{p}})^2 = (\tilde{p}^0)^2$, as discussed in section 3. From (30) we see how the boost operator generates a change in momentum. However the velocity has no upper limit, the operator $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}/m$ is unbounded. In a fully relativistic theory we would expect the wavepacket velocity to be less than c ; so far, as shown in the previous section, we have had limited success in the relativistic wavepacket extension. We have shown that the position $\mathbf{r}$ is part of a 4-vector with $r^0 = i \mathcal{H}_- r$, which has far-reaching implications on the nature of space-time. We will discuss this further elsewhere.

Operators very similar to $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}$ have been discussed before in the context of lightcone quantum mechanics, an approach initiated by Dirac [10] in 1949 and subsequently worked on by a number of authors [7,8,9]. Their approach was to start with the Poisson bracket formulation of classical mechanics, find the lightcone generators, and then ‘quantise’ these generators to appropriate operators. The second of these authors [8] found a canonical transformation from the usual conjugate pair $(\mathbf{r}, \boldsymbol{\pi}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$, where

$$\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{r}, \boldsymbol{\pi}) = \boldsymbol{\pi} - \frac{m^2 c^2 + \pi^2}{2(\mathbf{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi})} \mathbf{r}, \quad \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{r}, \boldsymbol{\pi}) = \frac{2(\mathbf{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi})}{m^2 c^2 + \pi^2} \boldsymbol{\pi}.$$

Our $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}$ operator appears to be the quantised version of the generator above with $\boldsymbol{\pi} \rightarrow -i \boldsymbol{\nabla}$, which is more easily seen if we write $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}$ in the form

$$\tilde{\mathbf{p}} = -i \frac{1}{r} \boldsymbol{\nabla} r - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{r} \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{r} (m^2 c^2 - \nabla^2) r,$$

the Σ corresponding to the classical $(\mathbf{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi})$. Consider a positive energy plane wave $e^{-icp^0 t + i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}}$. On the past lightcone $t = -r/c$ this becomes $e^{ip^0 r + i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}}$, which referring to (5) is one half of the $\psi_{\mathbf{v}}$ wavefunction, the other half containing $e^{-ip^0 r + i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}}$ can be thought of as a future lightcone wave. From this point of view the $\psi_{\mathbf{v}}$ is a balance of past and future lightcone waves. The wavepacket approach hints at a more dynamical picture of a particle - existing as a confluence of past and future lightcone waves.

Acknowledgement I am grateful to Prof G Kaiser [11] for pointing out that putting the light velocity $c = 1$ as in an earlier version of this paper leads to incorrect dimension.

Appendix

I. The positive operator $(-\partial_r r \mathcal{H}_- r)$

That $(-\partial_r r \mathcal{H}_- r)$ is a positive operator is a key result in this paper, leading to the Hilbert space of section 4. We reproduce the derivation from ref [6] below.

Consider the unitary operator $\mathcal{U}$

$$\mathcal{U} f(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \frac{1}{2r} [(\mathcal{F}_c - i \mathcal{F}_s) + (\mathcal{F}_c + i \mathcal{F}_s) \mathcal{P}] r f(\mathbf{r}) \quad (\text{A1})$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ is the parity operator and $\mathcal{F}_c, \mathcal{F}_s$ are the Fourier cosine, sine transforms defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} f(r, \theta, \phi) &= f(r, \pi - \theta, \phi + \pi) \\ \mathcal{F}_c f(r, \theta, \phi) &= g_c(r, \theta, \phi) \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\infty f(t, \theta, \phi) \cos(rt) dt, \\ \mathcal{F}_s f(r, \theta, \phi) &= g_s(r, \theta, \phi) \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\infty f(t, \theta, \phi) \sin(rt) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A2})$$

The operator $\mathcal{U}$ has inverse $\mathcal{U}^{-1}$ where

$$\mathcal{U}^{-1} = \mathcal{U}^* = \frac{1}{2r} [(\mathcal{F}_c + i \mathcal{F}_s) + (\mathcal{F}_c - i \mathcal{F}_s) \mathcal{P}] r. \quad (\text{A3})$$

It is straightforward to check that $\mathcal{U}^* \mathcal{U} = 1$, with the aid of

$$\mathcal{F}_c \mathcal{F}_c = \mathcal{F}_s \mathcal{F}_s = \mathcal{P} \mathcal{P} = 1. \quad (\text{A4})$$

We emphasise that $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}^*$ are in a sense one dimensional transform operators: the integration is along the axis $\lambda \hat{\mathbf{r}}$, with $-\infty > \lambda > \infty$.

We next calculate the operator

$$l^0 \equiv \mathcal{U}^* r \mathcal{U} \quad (\text{A5})$$

which is clearly a non-negative operator. To simplify (A5), it is known that the cosine transform of the sine transform is the Hilbert transform of odd functions, and the sine transform of the cosine transform is minus the Hilbert transform of even functions, i.e.

$$\mathcal{F}_s \mathcal{F}_c = -\mathcal{H}_e, \quad \mathcal{F}_c \mathcal{F}_s = \mathcal{H}_o \quad (\text{A6})$$

where $\mathcal{H}_e, \mathcal{H}_o$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_e f(r, \theta, \phi) &\equiv -\frac{2r}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{f(t, \theta, \phi)}{r^2 - t^2} dt, & \mathcal{H}_e f(\mathbf{r}) &= -\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{f(\lambda \mathbf{r})}{1 - \lambda^2} d\lambda, \\ \mathcal{H}_o f(r, \theta, \phi) &\equiv -\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{t f(t, \theta, \phi)}{r^2 - t^2} dt, & \mathcal{H}_o f(\mathbf{r}) &= -\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda f(\lambda \mathbf{r})}{1 - \lambda^2} d\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A7})$$

For brevity we adopt the notation

$$\mathcal{F}_\pm \equiv \mathcal{F}_c \pm i \mathcal{F}_s$$

then from (A4, A6) there follows the further identities

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_+ \mathcal{F}_+ &= -i(\mathcal{H}_e - \mathcal{H}_o), & \mathcal{F}_- \mathcal{F}_- &= i(\mathcal{H}_e - \mathcal{H}_o), \\ \mathcal{F}_+ \mathcal{F}_- &= 2 - i(\mathcal{H}_e + \mathcal{H}_o), & \mathcal{F}_- \mathcal{F}_+ &= 2 + i(\mathcal{H}_e + \mathcal{H}_o), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A8})$$

Also we note that

$$\mathcal{F}_\pm r = \mp i \partial_r \mathcal{F}_\pm, \quad r \mathcal{F}_\pm = \pm i \mathcal{F}_\pm \partial_r. \quad (\text{A9})$$

Returning to $l^0 = \mathcal{U}^* r \mathcal{U}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} l^0 &= \mathcal{U}^* r \mathcal{U} = \frac{1}{4r} (\mathcal{F}_+ + \mathcal{F}_- \mathcal{P}) r (\mathcal{F}_- + \mathcal{F}_+ \mathcal{P}) r \\ &= i \frac{1}{4r} \partial_r (-\mathcal{F}_+ + \mathcal{F}_- \mathcal{P}) (\mathcal{F}_- + \mathcal{F}_+ \mathcal{P}) r \\ &= i \frac{1}{4r} \partial_r [(-\mathcal{F}_+ \mathcal{F}_- + \mathcal{F}_- \mathcal{F}_+) + (\mathcal{F}_- \mathcal{F}_- - \mathcal{F}_+ \mathcal{F}_+) \mathcal{P}] r \\ &= -\frac{1}{2r} \partial_r [(\mathcal{H}_e + \mathcal{H}_o) + (\mathcal{H}_e - \mathcal{H}_o) \mathcal{P}] r \equiv -\frac{1}{r} \partial_r \mathcal{H}_+ r \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A10})$$

recalling $\mathcal{H}_+ \equiv \frac{1}{2}[(\mathcal{H}_e + \mathcal{H}_o) + (\mathcal{H}_e - \mathcal{H}_o)\mathcal{P}]$ from (13) which is a Hilbert transform along the axis $\lambda \hat{\mathbf{r}}$, $(-\infty < \lambda < \infty)$.

The operator components ∂_r , $\mathcal{H}_+$ of l^0 do not commute: If in the working out of (A10) we take r to the right instead of to the left, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} l^0 &= \mathcal{U}^* r \mathcal{U} = -\frac{1}{2r} [(\mathcal{H}_e + \mathcal{H}_o) - (\mathcal{H}_e - \mathcal{H}_o)\mathcal{P}] \partial_r r \\ &\equiv -\frac{1}{r} \mathcal{H}_- \partial_r r. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A11})$$

As

$$\mathcal{H}_- \mathcal{H}_+ = \mathcal{H}_+ \mathcal{H}_- = -1,$$

which can be verified their definitions (13) together with $\mathcal{H}_e \mathcal{H}_o = \mathcal{H}_o \mathcal{H}_e = -1$, then

$$l^{02} = \frac{1}{r} \partial_r \mathcal{H}_+ \mathcal{H}_- \partial_r r = -\frac{1}{r} \partial_r^2 r$$

as expected. Hence l^0 is a positive square root of $-\frac{1}{r} \partial_r^2 r$.

Finally from (A10), (A11)

$$r l^0 r = (-\partial_r \mathcal{H}_+ r^2) = (-\partial_r r \mathcal{H}_- r) \quad (\text{A12})$$

and as we have shown l^0 is non-negative, so must be the operator $r l^0 r = (-\partial_r r \mathcal{H}_- r)$.

II. To calculate $\langle \psi_{-\mathbf{v}} | \psi_{\mathbf{v}} \rangle_S$

We calculate $\langle \psi_{\mathbf{v}} | \psi_{\mathbf{v}'} \rangle_S$, the inner product of two wavepackets coinciding momentarily at time $t = 0$. If $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{v}'$ then there will be a centre-of-momentum frame where $\mathbf{v} = -\mathbf{v}'$. (The exponentiated boost operator $e^{\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{K}}$ can be applied to the $\psi_{\mathbf{v}}, \psi_{\mathbf{v}'}$ to arrive at the centre-of-momentum frame.) Before we calculate $\langle \psi_{-\mathbf{v}} | \psi_{\mathbf{v}} \rangle_S$ we first simplify the inner product $\langle \phi | \psi \rangle_S$:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi | \psi \rangle_S &\equiv \langle \phi | (-i \Sigma \mathcal{H}_- r) \psi \rangle = \langle \phi | -\partial_r r \mathcal{H}_- r \psi \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \langle \frac{1}{r} \partial_r r^2 \phi | \mathcal{H}_- r \psi \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \phi | -\partial_r r \mathcal{H}_- r \psi \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \langle (\partial_r + \frac{1}{r}) r \phi | \mathcal{H}_- r \psi \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \phi | -r(\partial_r + \frac{1}{r}) \mathcal{H}_- r \psi \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \langle \partial_r (r \phi) | \mathcal{H}_- r \psi \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle r \phi | -\partial_r (\mathcal{H}_- r \psi) \rangle \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{2} \int \left[(\partial_r (r \phi^*)) (\mathcal{H}_- r \psi) + (r \phi^*) (-\partial_r (\mathcal{H}_- r \psi)) \right] d^3 \mathbf{r} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A13})$$

Now we substitute $\psi = \psi_{\mathbf{v}}, \phi = \psi_{-\mathbf{v}}$ into the above, recalling from (19) that $\mathcal{H}_- r \psi_{\mathbf{v}} = \{\cos(p^0 r) e^{i \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}}\}$, obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_{-\mathbf{v}} | \psi_{\mathbf{v}} \rangle_S &= \frac{1}{2} \int \left[\partial_r (\sin(p^0 r) e^{i \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}}) (\cos(p^0 r) e^{i \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (\sin(p^0 r) e^{i \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}}) (\partial_r \cos(p^0 r) e^{i \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}}) \right] d^3 \mathbf{r} \\ &= \frac{p^0}{2} \int \left[(\cos(p^0 r) + i (\frac{\mathbf{p}}{p^0} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}) \sin(p^0 r)) \cos(p^0 r) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sin(p^0 r) (\sin(p^0 r) - i (\frac{\mathbf{p}}{p^0} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}) \cos(p^0 r)) \right] e^{2i \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}} d^3 \mathbf{r} \\ &= \frac{p^0}{2} \int e^{2i \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}} d^3 \mathbf{r} = (2\pi)^3 \frac{p^0}{2} \delta(\mathbf{p} - (-\mathbf{p})) = 0 \quad \text{for } \mathbf{p} \neq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A14})$$

If instead we substitute $\psi = \phi = \psi_{\mathbf{v}}$ into (30) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_{\mathbf{v}} | \psi_{\mathbf{v}} \rangle_S &= \frac{p^0}{2} \int \left[(\cos(p^0 r) - i (\frac{\mathbf{p}}{p^0} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}) \sin(p^0 r)) \cos(p^0 r) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sin(p^0 r) (\sin(p^0 r) - i (\frac{\mathbf{p}}{p^0} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}) \cos(p^0 r)) \right] d^3 \mathbf{r} \\ &= \frac{p^0}{2} \int [1 - 2i (\frac{\mathbf{p}}{p^0} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}) \sin(p^0 r) \cos(p^0 r)] d^3 \mathbf{r} = (2\pi)^3 \frac{p^0}{2} \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A15})$$

as integrating over the angular variable $(\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}) = \cos \theta$ annihilates the second term in the integral. We conclude that

$$\langle \psi_{\mathbf{v}} | \psi_{\mathbf{v}'} \rangle_S = (2\pi)^3 \frac{p^0}{2} \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}') = 4\pi^3 p^0 \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}'). \quad (A16)$$

References

- [1] Holland P R, 1994 *The Quantum Theory of Motion* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge)
- [2] Besieres I M et al, 1994 *Am. J. Phys.* **62** 519
- [3] Barut A O, 1990 *Found. Phys.* **20** 1233
- [4] Berry M V and Balazs N L, 1979 *Am. J. Phys.* **47** 264
- [5] Mosley S N, 1996 *J. Phys. A* **29** 6671
- [6] Mosley S N, 2003 *arXiv*: quant-ph/0310159
- [7] Peres A, 1967 *J. Math. Phys.* **98** 785
- [8] Derrick G H, 1987 *J. Math. Phys.* **28** 1327
- [9] Mosley S N and Farina J E G, 1992 *J. Phys. A* **25** 4673
- [10] Dirac P A M, 1949 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **21** 392
- [11] Kaiser G, 2008 (*private communication*)